arXiv:1610.08867v2 [math.DG] 7 Nov 2016

CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE FOLIATION OF DOMAINS OF DEPENDENCE IN AdS_3

ANDREA TAMBURELLI

Abstract. We prove that, given an acausal curve Γ in the boundary at infinity of AdS_3 which is the graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism ϕ, there exists a unique foliation of its domain of dependence $D(\Gamma)$ by constant mean curvature surfaces with bounded second fundamental form. Moreover, these surfaces provide a family of quasi-conformal extensions of ϕ. This answers Question 8.3 in [BBD+12].

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Anti-de Sitter space 2
2. Quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms and quasi-conformal extensions 5
3. Existence of a CMC foliation 7
4. Study of the principal curvatures of an H-surface 13
5. Uniqueness of the CMC foliation 18
6. Application 19
References 23

Introduction

The study of Anti-de Sitter geometry has grown in interest since the pioneering work of Mess, who pointed out many analogies betweeen hyperbolic geometry and many connections to Teichmüller theory [Mess07].

More recently, with the work of Bonsante and Schlenker [BS10] and of Bonsante and Seppi [BS16], Anti-de Sitter geometry turned out to be a useful tool to construct quasi-conformal extensions of quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms of the unit disc. Indeed, the graph of a quasi-symmetric map $\phi : S^1 \to S^1$ describes a curve (called quasi-circle) c_ϕ on the boundary at infinity of the 3-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space AdS_3. Bonsante and Schlenker proved that a smooth surface S (satisfying some technical conditions) with asymptotic boundary c_ϕ defines a quasi-conformal extension of ϕ. Moreover, some remarkable properties of the quasi-conformal extension can be deduced from the geometry of the surface itself: for example, when the surface

Date: November 8, 2016.

S is maximal (i.e. it has vanishing mean curvature) the quasi-conformal extension induced by S is minimal Lagrangian ([BS10]); when S is a smooth convex κ-surface, the corresponding quasi-conformal extension is a landslide ([BS16]); when the surface S is the past-convex boundary of the convex-hull of c_ϕ, the quasi-symmetric homeomorphism ϕ is exactly the boundary map of the earthquake $E^{2\lambda} : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2$, where λ is the pleating locus of S ([Mess07]).

In this paper we consider another class of quasi-conformal extensions, induced by constant mean curvature surfaces (in brief H-surfaces). The first problem we address is the existence of an H-surface with a given quasi-circle Γ as asymptotic boundary, thus generalizing the work of Bonsante and Schlenker ([BS10]) for maximal surfaces. We will prove that for each $H \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an H-surface with asymptotic boundary Γ and bounded principal curvatures. Although the technical part of the proof is based on the same apriori estimates as in [BS10], the starting point for the construction of this H-surface is different, thus obtaining a somehow new proof also in the case when $H = 0$. Namely, we construct this H-surface S_H as a limit of H-surfaces $(S_H)_n$, with asymptotic boundary Γ_n, with the property that Γ_n is the graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism conjugating two cocompact Fuchsian group and Γ_n converges to Γ in the Hausdorff topology. The existence of this approximating sequence $(S_H)_n$ is a consequence of some results in [BBZ07] and [BS16].

Moreover, extending the results of [BBZ07], we prove the following:

Theorem 3.1 *Given a quasi-circle $\Gamma \subset \partial_\infty AdS_3$, there exists a foliation by constant mean curvature surfaces S_H for $H \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ of the domain of dependence $D(\Gamma)$.*

In the second part of the paper, we estimate the principal curvatures of a constant mean curvature surface. Those results will then be used to prove the uniqueness of the foliation (Theorem 5.2) and to prove that each H-surface bounding a quasi-circle induces a quasi-conformal extension of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism (Proposition 6.2).

Outline of the paper. In Section 1 we describe some geometric models of the 3-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space. In Section 2 we recall how to associate to a smooth surface bounding the graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism ϕ a quasi-conformal extension of ϕ to the unit disc, following [BS10]. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1. The uniqueness of the foliation is proved in Section 5, using some estimates on the principal curvatures of an H-surface proved in Section 4. In Section 6 we prove Proposition 6.2.

1. Anti-de Sitter space

The 3-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space AdS_3 is the Lorentzian analogue of the hyperbolic space, i.e. it is the local model for 3-dimensional Lorentzian manifolds of

constant sectional curvature -1. In this section we introduce two geometric models that we will use in the sequel: as the interior of a quadric in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and as a product $\mathbb{H}^2 \times S^1$. The main references for the material covered here are [Mess07] and [BS10].

The Klein model of Anti-de Sitter space. Consider $\mathbb{R}^4$ endowed with the symmetric bilinear form of signature $(2,2)$

$$\langle x, y\rangle_{2,2} = -x_0y_0 + x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 - x_3y_3 \ .$$

The 3-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space is the quadric

$$\widehat{AdS}_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \langle x, x\rangle_{2,2} = -1\}$$

endowed with the Lorentzian metric induced by the restriction of the bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{2,2}$ to its tangent spaces. Given a point $p \in \widehat{AdS}_3$ and a tangent vector $v \in T_p\widehat{AdS}_3$, we say that

- v is space-like if $\langle v, v\rangle_{2,2} > 0$;
- v is light-like if $\langle v, v\rangle_{2,2} = 0$;
- v is time-like if $\langle v, v\rangle_{2,2} < 0$.

In a similar way we distinguish space-like, time-like and light-like geodesics. These are obtained by intersecting a plane through the origin with the quadric. Given two points $p, q \in \widehat{AdS}_3$, we say that they are causally related if there exists a non-space-like curve connecting them. Under this condition, we define the distance between p and q as

$$d_{AdS}(p,q) = \sup_{\gamma} \int_0^1 \sqrt{-g_{AdS}(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)}ds \ ,$$

where the supremum is taken over all possible non-space-like curves $\gamma : [0,1] \to \widehat{AdS}_3$ such that $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(1) = q$. A spacelike plane is a totally geodesic plane whose induced metric is Riemannian. Moreover, every space-like plane is isometric to the hyperbolic plane. More in general, a space-like surface is a 2-dimensional sub-manifold whose tangent plane at every point is space-like.

A time-orientation is a choice of a never-vanishing time-like vector field on $\widehat{AdS}_3$. The isometry group of orientation and time-orientation preserving isometries of $\widehat{AdS}_3$ is identified with $SO_0(2,2)$, as they are linear transformation of $\mathbb{R}^4$ preserving the bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{2,2}$.

The Anti-de Sitter space can be more easily visualised by considering its image in the projective space. If we denote with $\pi : \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{RP}^3$ the canonical projection we define

$$AdS_3 = \pi(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \langle x, x\rangle_{2,2} < 0\}) \ .$$

It can be easily verified that $\pi : \widehat{AdS}_3 \to AdS_3$ is a double cover, hence we can endow AdS_3 with the unique Lorentzian structure that makes π a local isometry.

In the affine chart $U_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_3 \neq 0\}$, the Anti-de Sitter space fills the interior of the double-ruled quadric Q of equation $-x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. In analogy with the Klein model of the hyperbolic space, geodesics and totally geodesics planes

are obtained by intersecting the interior of the quadric with affine lines and planes.

Moreover, the projective duality in $\mathbb{RP}^3$ induces a duality between points and totally geodesic space-like planes in AdS_3.

It is then natural to define the boundary at infinity of AdS_3 as

$$\partial_\infty AdS_3 = \pi(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \langle x, x\rangle_{2,2} = 0\}) \ .$$

In the affine chart U_3, this corresponds to the double-ruled quadric Q of equation $-x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. Using the two rulings, which we call left and right, we can identify the boundary at infinity of AdS_3 with two copies of S^1 in the following way. Fix a spacelike plane P in AdS_3. Its boundary at infinity is a circle. Given a point $q \in \partial_\infty AdS_3$, we can associate biunivocally a couple $(\pi_l(q), \pi_r(q)) \in \partial_\infty P \times \partial_\infty P$ by following the left and right ruling of the quadric Q.

Given a continuous curve Γ in $\partial_\infty AdS_3$, we say that Γ is weakly acausal if for every point $p \in \Gamma$, there exists a neighborhood U of p in $\partial_\infty AdS_3$ such that $U \cap \Gamma$ is contained in the complement of the regions of U which are connected to p by time-like curves.

Definition 1.1. *The domain of dependence $D(\Gamma)$ of a weakly acausal curve Γ is the union of points $p \in AdS_3$ such that the dual plane p^* is disjoint from Γ.*

It turns out that the domains of dependence are always contained in an affine chart and only admit light-like support planes.

We will also use the following notion:

Definition 1.2. *The convex hull $C(\Gamma)$ of a weakly acausal curve Γ is the smallest closed convex subset which contains Γ*

A product model for Anti-de Sitter space. The map

$$F : \mathbb{H}^2 \times S^1 \to \widehat{AdS}_3$$
$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, e^{i\theta}) \mapsto (x_0 \cos(\theta), x_1, x_2, x_0 \sin(\theta))$$

is a diffeomorphism (we are considering the hyperboloid model of $\mathbb{H}^2$). Hence $\mathbb{H}^2 \times S^1$ is isometric to the Anti-de Sitter space, when endowed with the pull-back metric

$$F^* g_{AdS_3}(x, e^{i\theta}) = g_{\mathbb{H}^2} - x_0^2 d\theta^2 \ .$$

It is sometimes convenient to consider the universal covering $\widetilde{AdS}_3 \cong \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the metric

$$g_{\widetilde{AdS}} = g_{\mathbb{H}}^2 - x_0^2 dt^2 \ .$$

We notice that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is a time-like Killing vector field. We will denote

$$\chi^2 = -\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\|^2$$

and

$$\mathrm{grad}t = -\frac{1}{\chi^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ .$$

This model is particularly useful to study space-like surfaces. In fact, space-like surfaces are graphs of functions (Prop. 3.2 in [BS10])

$$\begin{aligned} u : \mathbb{H}^2 &\to \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto u(x) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the space-like condition provides a uniform bound on the gradient of u. For instance, let us consider the function $\hat{u}$ on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\hat{u}(x,t) = u(x) \ .$$

The space-like surface described by the function $\hat{u}$ is defined by the equation $\hat{u}(x) - t = 0$. This is space-like if and only if the normal vector at each point

$$\nu = -\chi^2 \mathrm{grad}t - \mathrm{grad}(\hat{u})$$

is time-like. We deduce the uniform bound

$$\|\mathrm{grad}(u)\|^2 < \frac{1}{\chi^2}$$

on the gradient of the function u.

2. Quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms and quasi-conformal extensions

In this section we recall some well-known results about quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms of S^1. The graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism ϕ describes a curve c_ϕ on the boundary at infinity of AdS_3 and, under some additional conditions, smooth negatively curved surfaces bounding c_ϕ provide quasi-conformal extensions of ϕ ([BS10], [KS07]). We recall here briefly this construction.

In Section 1 we have seen that it is possible to identify the boundary at infinity of the 3-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space with $S^1 \times S^1$. With this identification, we can represent the graph of a homeomorphism $\phi : S^1 \to S^1$ as a curve on the boundary at infinity of AdS_3, namely

$$c_\phi = \{(x, \phi(x)) \in \partial_\infty AdS_3 \mid x \in S^1\} \ .$$

A homeomorphism $\phi : S^1 \to S^1$ is quasi-symmetric if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_Q |\log |cr(\phi(Q))|| \leq C \ ,$$

where the supremum is taken over all quadruple Q of points in S^1 with cross ratio $cr(Q) = -1$, and we use the following definition of cross-ratio

$$cr(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \frac{(x_4 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2)}{(x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_4)} \ .$$

Definition 2.1. *An acausal curve $\Gamma \subset \partial_\infty AdS_3$ is a quasi-circle, if it is the graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism.*

Remark 2.2. *It follows from the identification between the boundary at infinity of AdS_3 and $S^1 \times S^1$ that an acausal curve Γ is a quasi-circle if and only if $\phi = \pi_l^{-1} \circ \pi_r$ is quasi-symmetric. Moreover, Γ is the graph of ϕ.*

An orientation-preserving homeomorphism $f : D^2 \to D^2$ is quasi-conformal if f is absolutely continuous on lines and there exists a constant $k < 1$ such that

$$|\mu_f| = \left|\frac{\overline{\partial} f}{\partial f}\right| \leq k \ .$$

A map with this property can also be called K-quasi-conformal, where

$$K = \frac{1 - \|\mu_f\|_\infty}{1 + \|\mu_f\|_\infty} \in [1, +\infty)$$

The relation between quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms of the circle and quasi-conformal maps of the unit disc is provided by the following well-known theorem:

Theorem 2.3 ([AB56])**.** *Every quasi-conformal map $\Phi : D^2 \to D^2$ extends to a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism of S^1. Conversely, any quasi-symmetric homeomorphism $\phi : S^1 \to S^1$ admits a quasi-conformal extension to D^2.*

If we represent the graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism ϕ as a curve c_ϕ on the boundary at infinity of AdS_3, in [KS07] it is explained how to obtain quasi-conformal extensions of ϕ using smooth, negatively curved, space-like surfaces with boundary at infinity c_ϕ. The construction goes as follows. We fix a totally geodesic space-like plane P_0. Let S be a space-like, negatively-curved surface embedded in AdS_3. Let $\tilde{S}' \subset U^1 AdS_3$ be its lift into the unit tangent bundle of AdS_3 and let $p : \tilde{S}' \to \tilde{S}$ be the canonical projection. For any point $(x, v) \in \tilde{S}'$, there exists a unique space-like plane P in AdS_3 orthogonal to v and containing x. We define two natural maps $\Pi_{\infty,l}$ and $\Pi_{\infty,r}$ from $\partial_\infty P$ to $\partial_\infty P_0$, sending a point $x \in \partial_\infty P$ to the intersection between $\partial_\infty P_0$ and the unique line of the left or right foliation of $\partial_\infty AdS_3$ containing x. Since these maps are projective, they extend to hyperbolic isometries $\Pi_l, \Pi_r : P \to P_0$. We then define the map $\Phi = \Pi_l^{-1} \circ \Pi_r$. This map is always a local diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{H}^2$ when the surface is negatively curved, as the differentials of the maps Π_l and Π_r are given by

$$d\Pi_l = E + JB \qquad d\Pi_r = E - JB \ .$$

On the other hand, Φ is not always a global diffeomorphism, but the following lemma gives some sufficient conditions on the surface S which guarantee that Φ is proper (and hence a homeomorphism) and that its boundary value coincides with ϕ:

Lemma 2.4 (Lemma 3.18 in [KS07])**.** *Let S be a space-like, negatively curved surface in AdS_3 whose boundary at infinity Γ does not contain any light-like segment. Suppose that there is no sequence of points x_n on S such that the totally geodesic planes P_n tangent to S at x_n converge to a light-like plane P whose past end-point*

and future end-point are not in Γ. *Then for any sequence of points* $x_n \in S$ *converging to* $x \in \Gamma$ *we have that* $\Pi_l(x_n) \to \pi_l(x)$ *and* $\Pi_r(x_n) \to \pi_r(x)$.

Remark 2.5. *As noticed in* [KS07]*, the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4 are satisfied in case of a smooth, convex, space-like surface bounding a quasi-circle.*

3. Existence of a CMC foliation

This section is devoted to the proof of the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Given a quasi-circle* $\Gamma \subset \partial_\infty AdS_3$*, there exists a foliation by constant mean curvature surfaces* S_H *for* $H \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ *of the domain of dependence* $D(\Gamma)$.

As outlined in the introduction, the main idea to construct a constant mean curvature surface with a given quasi-circle as boundary at infinity is a process by approximation. In fact, as a consequence of the work [BBZ07], the existence (and uniqueness) of a constant mean curvature foliation is known for a particular class of quasi-circles:

Theorem 3.2 (Thm 1.1 in [BBZ07])**.** *Let* Γ *be a quasi-circle which is the graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism that conjugates two cocompact Fuchsian group. Then there exists a unique foliation by equivariant H-surfaces of the domain of dependence of* Γ*, where* $H \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Moreover, by a recent result in [BS16], every quasi-circle can be uniformly approximated by a sequence of quasi-circles, which are the graphs of quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms conjugating two cocompact Fuchsian groups (Lemma 7.2).

Therefore, given a quasi-circle Γ, we will consider a sequence of quasi-circles Γ_n, which are the graphs of quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms conjugating two cocompact Fuchsian groups, converging in the Hausdorff topology to Γ. For each $H \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, Theorem 3.2 provides a sequence of H-surfaces $(S_H)_n$ with boundary at infinity Γ_n. In this section we will prove that the sequence $(S_H)_n$ converges C^∞ on compact set to an H-surface $(S_H)_\infty$ with boundary at infinity Γ. This will give us the existence of a surface with given boundary at infinity and given constant mean curvature H for every $H \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. We will then prove that these surfaces provide a foliation of the domain of dependence of Γ.

We first recall some definitions. In the universal cover of AdS_3, given a space-like surface M, we recall that M is the graph of a function $u : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. We define the gradient function with respect to the vector field $T = -\chi\nabla t$ as

$$v_M = -\langle \nu, T \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \chi^2 |\nabla u|^2}}$$

where ν is the unit future-oriented normal vector field. The shape operator of M is defined by

$$B(X) = -\nabla_X \nu$$

for every vector field X on M. The mean curvature of M is

$$H = \frac{\mathrm{trace}(B)}{2} \ .$$

We can write explicitely a formula for the mean curvature of M, in terms of u and T (see e.g. [Bar88]):

$$H = \frac{-1}{2v_M}(\mathrm{div}_M(\chi \mathrm{grad}_M u) + \mathrm{div}_M T) \ . \tag{1}$$

We will need the following a priori estimate for the gradient function v_M, which is a consequence of the work of Bartnik [Bar88]. Given a point $p \in \widetilde{AdS}_3$, we denote with $I^+(p)$ the set of points in the future of p, and similarly with $I^-(p)$ the set of points in the past of p. We will indicate with $I^+_\epsilon(p)$ the set of points in the future of p at distance at least ϵ. We have the following:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p \in \widetilde{AdS}_3$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let K be a compact domain contained on a region where the covering map $\pi : \widetilde{AdS}_3 \to AdS_3$ is injective. There exists a constant $C = C(p, \epsilon, K)$ such that for every H-surface M that verifies*

- *$\partial M \cap I^+(p) = \emptyset$;*
- *$M \cap I^+(p) \subset K$,*

we have that

$$\sup_{M \cap I^+_\epsilon(p)} v_M < C \ .$$

Proof. Consider the time function

$$\tau(x) = d_{AdS}(x, p) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \ ,$$

where $d_{AdS}(x, p)$ is the Lorentzian distance between x and p. This function is smooth on $V = K \cap I^+(p)$. By assumption on M, the region $M \cap V$ contains the set $\{\tau \geq 0\} \cap M$ and $M \cap I^+_\epsilon(p)$ is contained in V. We can thus apply Theorem 3.1 in [Bar88] and conclude that

$$\sup_{M \cap I^+_\epsilon(p)} v_M < C \ ,$$

where the constant C depends on the C^2 norms of t and T and on the C^0 norm of the Ricci tensor on the domain $V \cap \{\tau \geq 0\}$ with respect to a reference Riemannian metric. □

We will also need the following result that provides some barriers for constant mean curvature surfaces in Anti-de Sitter manifolds:

Proposition 3.4. *Let Σ be a space-like surface with constant curvature H embedded in AdS_3 with boundary at infinity a quasi-circle Λ. Then there exists $\kappa \leq -1$ such that Σ is in the past of the past-convex surface S^+_κ and in the future of the future-convex surface S^-_κ with constant Gauss curvature κ and asymptotic boundary Λ.*

Proof. If $H = 0$, the statement holds, since a maximal surface is contained in the convex hull of Λ. For the other values of H we choose $\kappa < -1$ such that $\sqrt{-1-\kappa} > |H|$. We claim that the past-convex space-like surface S^+_κ with constant curvature κ, whose existence is proved in [BS16], must be in the future of Σ. If not, the surfaces Σ and S^+_κ would intersect transversely, but, since constant curvature surfaces provide a foliation of $D(\Lambda) \setminus C(\Lambda)$, there would exist a $\kappa' < \kappa$ such that the surface $S_{\kappa'}$ with constant Gauss curvature κ' is tangent to Σ at a point x. By the Maximum Principle, the mean curvature of $S_{\kappa'}$ at x must be smaller than the mean curvature of Σ at x, but this is impossible for our choice of κ'.

With a similar reasoning we obtain that the future-convex space-like surface S_κ must be in the past of Σ. □

We have now all the ingredients to prove the existence of an H-surface with given asymptotic boundary. Let Γ be a quasi-circle on AdS_3 and let Γ_n be a sequence of quasi-circles converging to Γ in the Hausdorff topology that conjugate two cocompact Fuchsian groups. Let $(S_H)_n$ be the H-surface with asymptotic boundary Γ_n provided by Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.5. *The sequence of H-surfaces $(S_H)_n$ converges C^∞ on compact sets to an H-surface $(S_H)_\infty$ with boundary at infinity Γ.*

Proof. We consider their lifts $(\tilde{S}_H)_n$ to the universal cover $\widetilde{AdS}_3$. We denote with $(\tilde{\Sigma}^\pm_\kappa)_n$ the lifts of the two constant curvature surfaces provided by Proposition 3.4. In general, we will use the notation with a tilda to indicate the lift of an object to the universal cover. By Theorem 7.8 in [BS16], the sequence $(\tilde{\Sigma}^\pm_\kappa)_n$ converges to constant curvature surfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}^\pm_\kappa$ with boundary at infinity $\tilde{\Gamma}$. We denote with K' the domain

$$K' = I^-(\tilde{\Sigma}^+_\kappa) \cap I^+(\tilde{\Sigma}^-_\kappa) \ .$$

For any point $\tilde{p} \in \widetilde{D(\Gamma)} \cap I^-(\tilde{\Sigma}^-_\kappa)$, we choose $\epsilon(\tilde{p})$ such that the family $\{I^+_{\epsilon(\tilde{p})}(\tilde{p}) \cap K'\}$ is an open covering of K'. Since

$$K'_n = I^-((\tilde{\Sigma}^+_\kappa)_n) \cap I^+((\tilde{\Sigma}^-_\kappa)_n)$$

converges to K', there exists an n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$ the closed set

$$K = \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq n_0} K'_n}$$

is contained in the open covering $\cup\{I^+_{\epsilon(\tilde{p})}(\tilde{p})\}$ constructed above.

Given a number $R > 0$ we denote with B_R the ball of radius R in $\mathbb{H}^2$ centered at the origin in the Poincaré model. The intersection $(B_R \times \mathbb{R}) \cap K$ is compact, so there is a finite number of points $\tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_m$ such that

$$(B_R \times \mathbb{R}) \cap K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} I^+_{\epsilon(\tilde{p}_j)}(\tilde{p}_j) \ .$$

We notice that, since $\tilde{p}_j \in \widetilde{D(\Gamma)}$, the intersection $I^+(\tilde{p}_j) \cap \widetilde{D(\Gamma)}$ is compact. Moreover, since the plane dual to $\tilde{p}_j$ is disjoint from $\tilde{\Gamma}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, m$, if we choose n_0 big enough, the same is true for Γ_n for every $n \geq n_0$, because Γ_n converges to Γ in the Hausdorff topology. In this way we can ensure that the set $K_j = \overline{I^+(\tilde{p}_j)} \cap K$ is compact and contained on a region where the covering map $\pi : \widetilde{AdS}_3 \to AdS_3$ is injective. By Lemma 3.3, there is a constant C_j such that

$$\sup_{M \cap I^+_{\epsilon(\tilde{p}_j)}(\tilde{p}_j)} v_M < C_j$$

for every constant mean curvature surface M satisfying

(i) $\partial M \cap I^+(\tilde{p}_j) = \emptyset$;
(ii) $M \cap I^+(\tilde{p}_j)$ is contained in K_j .

Condition (i) is clearly satisfied for $n \geq n_0$ by definition of the set K. As for Condition (ii), the boundary of $(\tilde{S}_H)_n$ is disjoint from the future of $\tilde{p}_j$ for every $j = 1, \dots m$ due to our choice of n_0.
If we denote with v_n the gradient function associated to the surface $(\tilde{S}_H)_n$, it follows that

$$\sup_{(\tilde{S}_H)_n \cap (B_R \times \mathbb{R})} v_n \leq \max\{C_1, \dots, C_m\} \tag{2}$$

for every $n \geq n_1$.

We deduce that for every R there is a constant $C(R)$ such that the gradient function v_n is bounded by $C(R)$ for n sufficiently large.

Let $u_n : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\tilde{S}_H)_n$ are the graph of the function u_n. By comparing Equation (1) with estimate (2), we see that the restriction of u_n on B_R is the solution of a uniformly elliptic quasi-linear PDE with bounded coefficients. Since u_n and the graident ∇u_n are uniformly bounded on B_R (see Section 1), by elliptic regularity the norms of u_n in $C^{2,\alpha}(B_{R-1})$ are uniformly bounded. We can thus extract a subsequence u_{n_k} which converges C^2 to some function u_∞ on compact sets. Since u_∞ is a C^2-limit of solutions of Equation (1), it is still a solution and its graph S_H has constant mean curvature H.

The boundary at infinity of S_H coincides with Γ because it is the Hausdorff limit of the curves Γ_n, which converge to Γ, by construction. □

Moreover, we can deduce that the principal curvatures of the surface $(S_H)_\infty$ are uniformly bounded, due to the following:

Lemma 3.6. *Let S be an H-surface embedded in AdS_3, which is the lift of a space-like compact surface embedded into a GHMC AdS_3 manifold. Then the principal curvatures μ and μ' of S are bounded by some constant depending only on H. More precisely,*

$$H \leq \lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{H^2+1} + H \quad \text{and} \quad -\sqrt{H^2+1} + H \leq \lambda_2 \leq H \ .$$

Proof. Let B be the shape operator of S. We consider $B_0 = B - HE$, the traceless part of B (here E is the identity operator). Since H is constant, the operator B_0 is Codazzi. Let e_1 and e_2 be tangent vectors in a orthonormal frame of S that diagonalise B_0. Since B_0 is traceless, the eigenvalues are opposite, and we will denote with $\lambda \geq 0$ the eigenvalue of e_1. Let ω be 1-form connection of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ for the induced metric on S, defined by the relation

$$\nabla_x e_1 = \omega(x) e_2 \ .$$

The Codazzi equation for B_0 can be read as follows,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda\omega(e_1) = d\mu(e_2) \\ \lambda\omega(e_2) = -d\mu(e_1) \ . \end{cases}$$

If we define $\beta = \log(\mu)$ we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \omega(e_1) = d\beta(e_2) \\ \omega(e_2) = -d\beta(e_1) \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if we denote with κ the Gaussian curvature of S, we have

$$-K = d\omega(e_1, e_2) = e_1(\omega(e_2)) - e_2(\omega(e_1)) - \omega([e_1, e_2]) = \Delta\beta \ ,$$

where Δ is the Laplacian that is positive at the points of local maximum. On the other hand by the Gauss equation,

$$-K = \det(B) + 1 = \det(B_0 + HE) + 1 = \det(B_0) + H^2 + 1 = -e^{2\beta} + H^2 + 1 \ .$$

Since the surface S is the lift of a compact surface, the function β has maximum at a point x_0. By the fact that $\Delta\beta(x_0) \geq 0$, we deduce that

$$\lambda = e^{\beta} \leq \sqrt{H^2 + 1} \ .$$

Since the eigenvalues of B are $\mu = \lambda + H$ and $\mu' = -\lambda + H$, we obtain the claim. □

We have thus found for every value of $H \in \mathbb{R}$ a constant mean curvature surface S_H, with bounded principal curvatures, bounding a given quasi-circle Γ at infinity. We conclude this section by showing that these surfaces provide a foliation of the domain of dependence $D(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let S_H be the family of H-surfaces provided by Theorem 3.5 with boundary at infinity Γ. Then $\{S_H\}_{H\in\mathbb{R}}$ foliate the domain of dependence $D(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. We first show that if $H_1 < H_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, then S_{H_1} and S_{H_2} are disjoint and S_{H_1} is in the past of S_{H_2}. By construction S_{H_1} and S_{H_2} are C^∞ limits of the sequences $(S_{H_1})_n$ and $(S_{H_2})_n$ of constant mean curvature surfaces with boundary at infinity Γ_n which is a graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism that conjugates two cocompact Fuchsian groups. By Theorem 3.2, they are leaves of the constant mean curvature foliation of $D(\Gamma_n)$ and, in particular, $(S_{H_1})_n$ is in the past of $(S_{H_2})_n$ for every n. Hence, the same holds for S_{H_1} and S_{H_2}. This shows that they cannot intersect transversely. Moreover, it is not possible that S_{H_1} and S_{H_2} are tangent at one point, since the trace of the shape operator of S_{H_2} is bigger than the trace of

the shape operator of S_{H_1} and this would contradict the Maximum Principle (see Lemma 5.1).

We now show that if we take two sequence of rational numbers H'_k converging increasingly to $H \in \mathbb{R}$ and H''_k converging decreasingly to $H \in \mathbb{R}$, then $S_{H'_k}$ and $S_{H''_k}$ converge to the same limit S_H. We first notice that the limits

$$S'_H = \lim_{H'_k \to H} S_{H'_k} \qquad \text{and} \qquad S''_H = \lim_{H''_k \to H} S_{H''_k}$$

exist by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.5. Moreover, S'_H must be in the past of S''_H. Suppose by contradiction that S'_H and S''_H are distinct. Let U be an open set contained in the past of S''_H and in the future of S'_H. Since we know that the domain of dependence of Γ_n is foliated by constant mean curvature surfaces, for n large enough there exists a surface S_{h_n} with constant mean curvature $h_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and boundary at infinity Γ_n. By the uniform convergence on compact sets, for n larger than some n_0, we must have $h_n < H''_k$ and $h_n > H'_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which gives the contradiction.

So far we have proved that the H-surfaces S_H provide a foliation of a subset of $D(\Gamma)$. We need to prove that

$$\bigcup_{H \in \mathbb{R}} S_H = D(\Gamma) \ .$$

Suppose by contradiction that there exists a point $p \in D(\Gamma)$ which does not lie in any of the surfaces S_H. Since the domain of dependence of Γ_n converges to the domain of dependence of Γ, there exists a sequence of points $p_n \in D(\Gamma_n)$ converging to p. Since $D(\Gamma_n)$ is foliated by constant mean curvature surfaces, there exists a sequence $H_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p_n \in S_n^{H_n} \subset D(\Gamma_n)$. We claim that the sequence H_n is bounded. We can assume that H_n is positive for n big enough. Since $p \in D(\Gamma)$, the boundary at infinity of the dual plane p^* is disjoint from Γ. We choose a space-like plane P in the future of p^* with the following properties: the boundary at infinity of P is disjoint from Γ and $p \in D(P) \cap D(\Gamma)$. Since Γ_n converges to Γ in the Hausdorff topology, the asymptotic boundary of P is disjoint from Γ_n for n big enough. Moreover, the surfaces $S_n^{H_n}$ converge to a nowhere time-like surface S_∞ passing at p, because they are graphs of uniformly Lipschitz functions (see Section 1). We notice that there exists a surface Σ_{H_0} with constant mean curvature H_0 and boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty P$, such that Σ_{H_0} intersects S_∞ in a compact set and $p \in I^-(\Sigma_{H_0})$. This surface Σ_{H_0} is obtained by taking equidistant surfaces from the space-like plane P_0. Let $F_P : D(P) \to \mathbb{R}$ the time function defined on the domain of dependence of P such that each level set $F^{-1}(H) = \Sigma_H$ is a constant mean curvature surface with asymptotic boundary $\partial_\infty P$. It follows by construction that $F_P^{-1}((-\infty, H_0)) \cap S_\infty$ is compact. Since the sequence $S_n^{H_n}$ converges uniformly on compact set to S_∞, the same is true for $F_P^{-1}((-\infty, H_0)) \cap S_n^{H_n}$, for n sufficiently big. We define

$$H_n^- = \inf_{x \in S_n^{H_n}} F_P(x) \ .$$

By the previous remarks $H_n^- \leq H_0$ for every n sufficiently large, and it is assumed at some point $x_n \in S_n^{H_n}$. By construction, $S_n^{H_n}$ is tangent to $\Sigma_{H_n^-}$ at the point x_n and $S_n^{H_n}$ is contained in the future of $\Sigma_{H_n^-}$. Therefore, by the Maximum Principle, we deduce that

$$H_n \leq H_n^- \leq H_0$$

as claimed. Therefore, there exist two real numbers H^+ and H^- such that $S_n^{H_n}$ is in the past of $S_n^{H^+}$ and in the future of $S_n^{H^-}$ for every n. But the sequences $S_n^{H^+}$ and $S_n^{H^-}$ converge, by Theorem 3.5, to constant mean curvature surfaces S_{H^+} and S_{H^-} with boundary at infinity Γ. But this implies that p is contained in the subset of $D(\Gamma)$ foliated by the surfaces S_H and this gives the contradiction. □

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4. Study of the principal curvatures of an H-surface

The aim of this section is to give precise estimates for the principal curvatures of an H-surface with bounded second fundamental form. These results will then be used in Section 6 in order to associate to each surface in the foliation of the domain of dependence of a quasi-circle a quasi-conformal extension of the corresponding quasi-symmetric homeomorphism.

The main tool used to estimate the principal curvatures of an H-surface with bounded second fundamental form is the following compactness result for sequences of H-surfaces with bounded second fundamental form. This is a straightforward generalization of Lemma 5.1 in [BS10].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $C > 0$ be a fixed constant. Choose a point $x_0 \in AdS_3$ and a future-oriented unit time-like vector $n_0 \in T_{x_0}AdS_3$. There exists $r > 0$ as follows. Let P_0 be the totally geodesic space-like plane orthogonal to n_0 at x_0. Let D_0 be the disk of radius r centered at x_0 in P_0. Let S_n be a sequence of H-surfaces containing x_0 and orthogonal to n_0 with second fundamental form bounded by C. After extracting a sub-sequence, the restrictions of S_n to the cylinders above D_0 converge C^∞ to an H-surface with boundary contained in the cylinder over ∂D_0.*

Proof. For all n, the surface S_n is the graph of a function f_n over a totally geodesic plane P_n. The bound on the second form of S_n, along with the fact that S_n is orthogonal to n_0 indicates that for some $r > 0$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla f_n\| \leq \frac{1-\epsilon}{\chi}$$

on the disk of center x_0 and radius r.
Moreover, since the second fundamental form of S_n are uniformly bounded, also the Hessian of f_n are uniformly bounded by a constant depending on r.

Therefore, we can extract a sub-sequence, still denoted with f_n, which converges $C^{1,1}$ to a function f_∞ on the disk of center x_0 and radius r. We notice that, since the gradient of f_∞ is uniformly bounded, the graph of f_∞ is a space-like surface.

By definition, the fact that S_n are H-surfaces translates to the fact that f_n is solution of Equation (1). Since f_∞ is a $C^{1,1}$-limit of the sequence f_n, it is itself a weak solution of the same equation. By elliptic regularity, it follows that f_∞ is C^∞ and f_n is actually converging to f_∞ in the C^∞ sense. Therefore, the graph of f_∞ over the disk of radius r is an H-surface, which is the C^∞ limit of the restriction of the H-surfaces S_n to the cylinder above the disk of radius r. □

We will need also a stability result for sequences of quasi-circles in $\partial_\infty AdS_3$. This will be a consequence of the following compactness property of quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\phi_n : S^1 \to S^1$ be a family of uniformly quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms of S^1, i.e. there exists a constant M such that*

$$\sup_n \sup_Q |\log |cr(\phi_n(Q))|| \leq M \ ,$$

where the supremum is taken over all possible quadruples Q of points in S^1 with $cr(Q) = -1$. Then there exists a subsequence ϕ_{n_k} for which one of the following holds:

- *the homeomorphisms ϕ_{n_k} converge uniformly to a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism ϕ;*
- *the homeomorphisms ϕ_{n_k} converge uniformly on the complement of any open neighborhood of a point of S^1 to a constant map.*

In terms of Anti-de Sitter geometry, the above proposition can be translated as follows:

Proposition 4.3. *Let Γ_n be a sequence of uniformly quasi-circles, i.e. Γ_n are graphs of a family of unifomly quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms. Then, there exists a subsequence Γ_{n_k} which converges in the Hausdorff topology either to the boundary of a light-like plane or to a quasi-circle Γ_∞.*

Under particular assumptions, we can guarantee that the limit of a sequence of quasicircle is never the boundary of a light-like plane:

Lemma 4.4. *Let S be a space-like surface whose asymptotic boundary is a quasi-circle Γ. Suppose that there exists $\epsilon \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ such that the surface S_ϵ at time-like distance ϵ from S is convex. Fix a point $x_0 \in AdS_3$ and a future-directed unit vector $n_0 \in T_{x_0} AdS_3$. Let x_n be a sequence of points in S and let ϕ_n be a sequence of isometries of AdS_3 such that $\phi_n(x_n) = x_0$ and the future-directed normal vector to S at x_n is sent to n_0. Then no subsequences of $\phi_n(\Gamma)$ converge to the boundary of a light-like plane.*

Proof. We can choose an affine chart such that $x_0 = (0,0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $n_0 = (1,0,0)$. Let $x'_n \in S_\epsilon$ be the point corresponding to x_n under the normal flow. In particular $d(x_n, x'_n) = \epsilon$ for every n. Since ϕ_n is an isometry, we deduce that $\phi_n(x'_n) = x'_0 = (\arcsin(\epsilon), 0, 0)$. Moreover, if we denote with P_n the totally geodesic space-like plane tangent to S_ϵ at $x_{n'}$, by construction $\phi_n(P_n) = P_0$, where P_0 is the totally geodesic

space-like plane through x'_0 orthogonal to n_0. Notice that, since S_ϵ is equidistant from S, they have the same asymptotic boundary Γ and S_ϵ is contained in the domain of dependence of Γ

Suppose by contradiction that there exist a subsequence, still denoted with $\phi_n(\Gamma)$, which converges to the boundary of a light-like plane. Let $\xi \in \partial_\infty AdS_3$ be the self-intersecion point of the boundary at infinity of this light-like plane. Since S_ϵ is convex, P_n is a support plane, hence its boundary at infinity is disjoint from Γ. After applying the isometry ϕ_n, this implies that P_0 is disjoint from $\phi_n(\Gamma)$ for every n. We deduce that ξ lies in P_0. But this implies that for n big enough, the point x'_0 is not contained in the domain of dependence of $\phi_n(\Gamma)$, which is impossible because each x'_n is contained in the domain of dependence of Γ for every n. □

We have now all the tools to study the principal curvature of an H-surface with bounded second fundamental form. In Section 3, we have seen that if we express the principal curvatures of an H-surface as $\pm\lambda + H$, and we define $\mu = \log(\lambda)$, then μ satisfies the differential equation

$$\Delta\mu = e^{2\mu} - H^2 - 1 \ . \tag{3}$$

The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 4.5. *Let S be an H-surface with bounded principal curvatures. If its boundary at infinity is a quasi-circle then S is uniformly negatively curved.*

We will first show that an H-surface bounding a quasi-circle cannot be flat. In case $H = 0$, a flat maximal surface in AdS_3 was described in [BS10] as a maximal horosphere in AdS_3. It turns out that for general H, flat constant mean curvature surfaces are equidistant surfaces from this maximal horosphere.

Let us first recall the construction of the maximal horosphere. Consider a space-like line l in AdS_3 and its dual line $l^\perp$, which is obtained as the intersection of totally geodesic planes dual to points of l. We recall that $l^\perp$ can also be described as the set of points at distance $\pi/2$ from l. The maximal horosphere S_0 is defined as the set of points at distance $\pi/4$ from l. It can be easily checked that S_0 is flat. Moreover, for every point $x \in S_0$, the surface S_0 has an orientation-reversing and time-reversing isometry obtained by reflection along a plane P tangent to a point $x \in S_0$, followed by a rotation of angle $\pi/2$ around the time-like geodesic orthogonal to P at x. This shows that the principal curvatures of S_0 must be opposite to each other, hence S_0 is a maximal surface. We then deduce by the Gauss formula that the principal curvatures are necessarily ± 1 at every point. We notice that the boundary at infinity of S_0 consists of four light-like segments, hence S_0 does not bound a quasi-circle.

We are now going to prove that flat H-surfaces can be obtained as surfaces at constant distance from the maximal horosphere. We will need the following well-known formulas for the variation of the induced metric and the shape operator in a foliation by equidistant surfaces.

Proposition 4.6 (Lemma 1.14 in [Sep16]). *Let S be a space-like surface in AdS_3 with induced metric I and shape operator B. Let S_ρ be the surface at time-like distance ρ from S, obtained by following the normal flow. Then the induced metric on the surface S_ρ is given by*

$$I_\rho = I((\cos(\rho)E + \sin(\rho)B)\cdot, (\cos(\rho)E + \sin(\rho)B)\cdot) \ .$$

Moreover, the shape operator of S_ρ is given by

$$B_\rho = (\cos(\rho)E + \sin(\rho)B)^{-1}(-\sin(\rho)E + \cos(\rho)B) \ .$$

If we apply the previous proposition to the maximal horosphere S_0, we obtain that, choosing a local orthogonal frame that diagonalises B, the induced metrics and the shape operator of the surface at time-like distance ρ from S_0 can be written as

$$I_\rho = \begin{vmatrix} \cos(\rho) + \sin(\rho) & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\rho) - \sin(\rho) \end{vmatrix} \quad B_\rho = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{-\sin(\rho)+\cos(\rho)}{\cos(\rho)+\sin(\rho)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-\sin(\rho)-\cos(\rho)}{\cos(\rho)-\sin(\rho)} \end{vmatrix} .$$

We deduce that equidistance surfaces form S_0 are smooth for $\rho \in (-\pi/4, \pi/4)$ and, for every value of ρ in this interval, the surface S_ρ is flat. Namely, by the Gauss formula,

$$\kappa_{S_\rho} = -1 - \det(B_\rho) = -1 + 1 = 0 \ .$$

Moreover, since the shape operator B_ρ has constant trace

$$\operatorname{trace}(B_\rho) = \frac{-\sin(\rho) + \cos(\rho)}{\cos(\rho) + \sin(\rho)} + \frac{-\sin(\rho) - \cos(\rho)}{\cos(\rho) - \sin(\rho)} = -2\tan(2\rho)$$

we deduce that a flat surface with constant mean curvature H is the surface at time-like distance $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\arctan(-H)$ from the maximal horosphere. Notice that, since these surfaces are at bounded distance from the maximal horosphere, they have the same boundary at infinity, which, we recall, consists of four light-like segments.

The proof of Proposition 4.5 will then follow from the above description of flat H-surfaces by applying the Maximum Principle "at infinity":

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Since S has bounded second fundamental form, its Gaussian curvature is bounded. We will denote with $\kappa_{\sup}$ the upper bound of the Gaussian curvature of S.

If $\kappa_{\sup}$ is attained, then the maximum principle applied to Equation (3) implies that $\kappa_{\sup} \leq 0$ and if $\kappa_{\sup} = 0$, then the surface is flat. This latter case cannot happen, since by hypothesis S bounds a quasi-circle, hence, if the upper bound of the Gaussian curvature is attained, the surface S is uniformly negatively curved.

We will know apply the Maximum Principle "at infinity" to get the same conclusion when the upper bound is not attained. Consider a sequence of points $x_n \in S$ such that the Gaussian curvature of S at x_n satisfies

$$\kappa_{\sup} - \frac{1}{n} \leq \kappa(x_n) \leq \kappa_{\sup} \ .$$

Let ϕ_n be a sequence of isometries of AdS_3 which sends x_n to a fixed point x_0 and the future-directed unit normal vector to S at x_n to a fixed vector $n_0 \in T_{x_0}AdS_3$. Since S has bounded second fundamental form, Lemma 4.1 shows that $\phi_n(S)$ converges, up to subsequences, to an H-surface S_∞ in a neighborhood of x_0. By construction, the curvature of S_∞ has a local maximum at x_0 equal to $\kappa_{\sup}$, hence the maximum principle applied again to Equation (3) shows that $\kappa_{\sup} \leq 0$.

We are left to show that $\kappa_{\sup} \neq 0$. For this we will need to use that the boundary at infinity of S is a quasi-circle and the description of flat constant mean curvature surfaces. Suppose by contradiction that $\kappa_{\sup} = 0$. Then the sequence $\phi_n(S)$ converges in a neighborhood of x_0 to the flat H-surface S_∞ described above. Moreover, Lemma 4.1 implies that $\phi_n(S)$ converges, up to subsequences, to S_∞ uniformly on compact set. In particular, the boundary at infinity of $\phi_n(S)$ converges to the boundary at infinity of S_∞, which consists of four light-like segments. But this is not possible by Proposition 4.3. □

Remark 4.7. *Proposition 4.5 and the Gauss formula imply that the principal curvatures of an H-surface with bounded second fundamental form and asymptotic boundary a quasi-circle can be written as $\pm\lambda + H$, where $\lambda \in [0, \sqrt{H^2+1} - \epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.*

We conclude this section with the following result about the existence of a convex surface equidistant from a negatively curved H-surface. This is a crucial step, together with Lemma 4.4, to prove the uniqueness of the constant mean curvature foliation of the domain of dependence of a quasi-circle.

Proposition 4.8. *Let S be a negatively curved H-surface with bounded second fundamental form. Then there exists a convex surface equidistant from S.*

Proof. We will do the proof for $H \geq 0$, the other case being analogous. Let us write the principal curvatures of S as $\mu = \lambda + H$ and $\mu' = -\lambda + H$. By Remark 4.7, we know that $\lambda \in [0, \sqrt{1+H^2} - \epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. We can clearly suppose $\epsilon < 1$. By Proposition 4.6, we know that the principal curvatures of the surface S_ρ at time-like distance ρ from S can be expressed as

$$\mu_\rho = \frac{\mu - \tan(\rho)}{1 + \mu\tan(\rho)} = \tan(\rho_0 - \rho)$$

$$\mu'_\rho = \frac{\mu' - \tan(\rho)}{1 + \mu'\tan(\rho)} = \tan(\rho_1 - \rho)$$

where $\rho_0 = \arctan(\mu)$ and $\rho_1 = \arctan(\mu')$. We will denote

$$\alpha = \arctan\left(\sqrt{1+H^2} + H - \epsilon\right) \quad \beta = \arctan(H) \quad \gamma = \arctan\left(H - \sqrt{1+H^2} + \epsilon\right).$$

By definition, we have

$$0 < \beta < \rho_0 < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2} \qquad \gamma < \rho_1 < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

We deduce that for every $\rho \in (\alpha - \pi/2, 0]$ the surface S_ρ is smooth, since the principal curvatures are non-degenerate. Moreover, for every $\rho \in (\alpha - \pi/2, \gamma)$, the surface S_ρ

has positive principal curvatures. Here, we should be careful that $\alpha - \pi/2 < \gamma$, but this can easily be verified to be true under the assumption $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. Namely, $\alpha - \pi/2 < \gamma$ if and only if

$$\arctan\left(H-\sqrt{1+H^2}+\epsilon\right) > \arctan\left(\sqrt{1+H^2}+H-\epsilon\right)-\frac{\pi}{2} = \arctan\left(\frac{-1}{H+\sqrt{1+H^2}-\epsilon}\right)$$

and it is sufficient to verify that

$$\epsilon + H - \sqrt{1+H^2} > \frac{-1}{H+\sqrt{1+H^2}-\epsilon} \ ,$$

which is true, under the hypothesis that $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, since

$$-1 < -(1-\epsilon)^2 \leq -\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon\sqrt{1+H^2} - 1 = (\epsilon + H - \sqrt{1+H^2})(H - \epsilon + \sqrt{1+H^2}) \ .$$

□

5. Uniqueness of the CMC foliation

In Section 3 we have proved the existence of a foliation by constant mean curvature surfaces of the domain of dependence of a quasi-circle. In this section we prove the uniqueness of such a foliation.

In order to prove the uniqueness of the foliation provided by Theorem 3.1, the main idea consists of proving that a constant mean curvature surface with bounded second fundamental form and with boundary at infinity a quasi-circle must coincide with a leaf of the foliation. The main tool we will use is the Maximum Principle for constant mean curvature surfaces in Lorentzian manifold, which we are going to recall.

Lemma 5.1 (Maximum Principle, Lemma 2.3 in [BBZ07])**.** *Let Σ and Σ' be smooth space-like surfaces in a time-oriented Lorentzian manifold M. Assume that Σ and Σ' are tangent at some point p, and assume that Σ' is contained in the future of Σ. Then, the mean curvature of Σ' at p is smaller or equal than the mean curvature of Σ at p.*

We have now all the instruments to prove the uniqueness of the foliation by constant mean curvature surfaces of the domain of dependence of a quasi-circle.

Theorem 5.2. *Let Γ be a quasi-circle and let $D(\Gamma)$ be its domain of dependence. Then there exists a unique foliation of $D(\Gamma)$ by constant mean curvature surfaces with bounded second fundamental form.*

Proof. The existence is provided by Theorem 3.1. In particular, we can define a time function $F : D(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}$ with the property that the level sets $F^{-1}(H)$ are H-surfaces for each $H \in \mathbb{R}$.

To prove the uniqueness of this foliation, we are going to show that every other surface S with constant mean curvature H, with bounded second fundamental form and with asymptotic boundary Γ must coincide with the level set $F^{-1}(H)$.
Consider the restriction of F to S. Suppose that F admits maximum h_{max} at a

point $x \in S$ and minimum h_{min} at a point $y \in S$. Notice that $h_{max} < +\infty$ and $h_{min} > -\infty$, otherwise S would touch the domain of dependence. By construction, the surfaces $F^{-1}(h_{max}) = S_{max}$ and $F^{-1}(h_{min}) = S_{min}$ are tangent to S at x and y, respectively. Moreover, S_{max} is in the future of S and S_{min} is in the past of S. Hence, by the Maximum Principle we obtain that

$$h_{max} \leq H \leq h_{min} \ .$$

Therefore, $h_{min} = h_{max} = H$ and S coincides with a level set of the function F as claimed.

In the general case, F does not admit maximum and minimum on S, but we can still apply a similar reasoning "at infinity". We define

$$h^+ = \sup_{x \in S} F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad h^- = \inf_{x \in S} F(x) \ .$$

Fix a point x_0 in AdS_3 and a future-directed unit normal vector n_0 at x_0. Let x_n be a sequence of points in S such that $F(x_n)$ tends to h^+ for $n \to \infty$. Let ϕ_n be a sequence of isometries of AdS_3 such that $\phi_n(x_n) = x_0$ and the surface $\phi_n(S)$ is orthogonal to n_0 at x_0. By Lemma 4.1, the sequence of surfaces $S_n = \phi_n(S)$ converges C^∞ to an H-surface S_∞ in a neighborhood of x_0. Let Γ_∞ be the boundary at infinity of S_∞. Since S_n converges uniformly on compact sets to S_∞, the curve Γ_∞ is the limit of the sequence $\phi_n(\Gamma)$. By Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.8, the limit curve Γ_∞ is a quasi-circle, hence Theorem 3.1 provides a foliation of the domain of dependence of Γ_∞ by constant mean curvature surfaces. Let $F_\infty : D(\Gamma_\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the time function such that its level sets are leaves of the foliation. By construction, the function F_∞ restricted to S_∞ admits maximum at x_0, so, by the Maximum Principle, $h^+ \leq H$. Repeating a similar procedure for h_-, we obtain the inequalities

$$h^+ \leq H \leq h^-$$

from which we deduce that $h^+ = h^- = H$ and that S must coincide with the level set $F^{-1}(H)$. □

6. Application

In this section we will use the theory described in Section 2 in order to associate to every constant mean curvature surface with asymptotic boundary the graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism ϕ and with bounded second fundamental form a quasi-conformal extension of ϕ.

In Section 2, we have recalled that, given a negatively curved space-like surface embedded in AdS_3, we can construct two local diffeomorphisms $\Pi_{l,r} : S \to \mathbb{H}^2$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let S be an H-surface with bounded second fundamental form. Suppose that the boundary at infinity of S is a quasi-circle Γ. Then the mappings $\Pi_{l,r} : S \to \mathbb{H}^2$ are global diffeomorphisms and they extend the maps $\pi_{l,r} : \Gamma \to S^1$*

Proof. We will do the proof for the map Π_l, the other case being analogous. By Proposition 4.8, there exists a convex surface S_ϵ equidistant from S. We remark that S and S_ϵ has the same boundary at infinity. Let $\Pi_{l,\epsilon}$ be the map defined in Section 2 associated to the surface S_ϵ. By Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.5, the map $\Pi_{l,\epsilon}$ is a global diffeomorphism and extends π_l.

We claim now that, if we denote with $\eta : S \to S_\epsilon$ the diffeomorphism induced by the normal flow, we have $\Pi_l = \Pi_{l,\epsilon} \circ \eta$. This is sufficient to conclude the proof, as the map η can be extended to the identity on the asymptotic boundaries. We now prove the claim. Let $p \in S$. Up to isometry we can suppose that, in the affine chart $U_3 = \{x_3 \neq 0\}$, we have $p = (0,0,0)$ and the tangent plane to S at p is the space-like plane P of equation $x = 0$. In addition, we can suppose that the totally-geodesic space-like plane we fix in the definition of Π_l (see Section 2) is exactly P. With this assumption, we clearly have $\Pi_l(p) = p$. Moreover, $\eta(p) = (\epsilon, 0, 0)$ and the tangent plane to S_ϵ at $\eta(p)$ has equation $x = \epsilon$. On the other hand, since the left foliation is parametrised by

$$(x, \cos(\theta) - x\sin(\theta), \sin(\theta) + x\cos(\theta)) = (x, \sqrt{1+x^2}\cos(\theta+\alpha), \sqrt{1+x^2}\sin(\theta+\alpha)) \ ,$$

where $\tan\alpha = x$, we have that

$$\Pi_{l,\epsilon}(\epsilon, t\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}\cos(\theta), t\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}\sin(\theta)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}}(0, t\cos(\theta-\beta), t\sin(\theta-\beta)) \ ,$$

where $\tan\beta = \epsilon$. Therefore, $\Pi_{l,\epsilon}(\eta(p)) = \Pi_{l,\epsilon}(\epsilon, 0, 0) = (0,0,0) = p = \Pi_l(p)$, as claimed. □

As a consequence the map $\Phi = \Pi_l^{-1} \circ \Pi_r$ is a global diffeomorphism and extends the quasi-symmetric map ϕ, whose graph is the quasi-circle Γ. We now use the estimates on the principal curvatures proved in Section 4 to show that Φ is quasi-conformal.

Proposition 6.2. *Let S be an H-surface with bounded second fundamental form whose boundary at infinity is the graph of a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism ϕ. Then the associated map Φ is a quasi-conformal extension of ϕ.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the map $\Pi_l : S \to \mathbb{H}^2$ is quasi-conformal from the induced metric on S to the hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{H}^2$. As seen in Section 2, the differential of Π_l is $E + JB$, where J is the complex structure on S and B is its shape operator. We thus need to bound the module of the complex dilatation of the map $A = (E+JB)^t(E+JB)$. In a suitable orthogonal frame for S, we can suppose that B is diagonal, hence

$$E + JB = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda - H \\ \lambda + H & 1 \end{vmatrix} \ ,$$

where we wrote the principal curvatures of S as $\pm\lambda + H$ with $\lambda \in [0, \sqrt{1+H^2} - \epsilon]$. Therefore,

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} 1 + (H-\lambda)^2 & 2\lambda \\ 2\lambda & (\lambda+H)^2 + 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

and its complex dilatation is

$$\mu = -\frac{\lambda(H+i)}{1+H^2} \ .$$

Thus,

$$|\mu|^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{1+H^2} = 1 - \frac{1+H^2-\lambda^2}{1+H^2} < 1$$

as wanted. □

We conclude by providing a characterization of the quasi-conformal diffeomorphisms induced by constant mean curvature surfaces. Let Φ be the quasi-conformal diffeomorphism induced by an H-surface S_H bounding a quasi-circle, as described above. We denote with b the unique operator $b : T\mathbb{H}^2 \to T\mathbb{H}^2$ such that

$$\Phi^* g_{\mathbb{H}^2} = g_{\mathbb{H}^2}(b\cdot, b\cdot) \ ,$$

where $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ is the standard hyperbolic metric on the unit disc. By construction (see Section 2), this operator can be written explicitly in terms of the shape operator B and the complex structure J on S_H, namely

$$b = (E + JB)^{-1}(E - JB) \ .$$

We deduce the following properties of the operator b:

(i) $\mathrm{trace}(b) \neq -2$, in fact, by a direct computation in a base where B is diagonal we have

$$\mathrm{trace}(b) = \frac{2(1-\det(B))}{1+\det(B)} \neq -2 \ .$$

(ii) b is Codazzi for $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$. In fact if we denote with ∇^I the Levi-Civita connection for the induced metric on S_H, we know that $\nabla^I E$ and $\nabla^I J$ vanish and B is Codazzi, hence

$$d^{\nabla^I}(E + JB) = 0 \ .$$

Moreover, since the Levi-Civita connection of the metric

$$I((E-JB)\cdot, (E-JB)\cdot) \ ,$$

which we identify with $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$, is

$$\nabla_X Y = (E-JB)^{-1}\nabla^I_X(E-JB)Y \ ,$$

(Proposition 3.12 in [KS07]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\nabla} b(X,Y) &= \nabla_X b(Y) - \nabla_Y b(X) - b([X,Y]) \\ &= (E-JB)^{-1}(\nabla^I_X(E+JB)Y - \nabla^I_Y(E+JB)X - (E+JB)[X,Y]) \\ &= (E-JB)^{-1}(d^{\nabla^I}(E+JB)(X,Y)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $\det(b) = 1$, as

$$\det(E+JB) = \det(E-JB) = 1 + \det(B)$$

because B is self-adjoint for I and $\mathrm{trace}(JB) = 0$.

(iv) b can be written as $b = s + a$, where s is $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$-self-adjoint and

$$a = -\frac{H}{2}(2 + \mathrm{trace}(b))J_{\mathbb{H}^2} \ ,$$

where $J_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ is the complex structure induced by $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$.
Namely, every operator can be decomposed into a $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$-self-adjoint s and a $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$-skew-self-adjoint part a and the skew-self-adjoint part must be a multiple of $J_{\mathbb{H}^2}$. Therefore, in order to prove the above formula, it is sufficient to compute the trace of $bJ_{\mathbb{H}^2}$, because the trace of $sJ_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ vanishes, since s is self-adjoint. Recalling that we are indentifying the metric $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ with $I((E-JB)\cdot,(E-JB)\cdot))$, we deduce that

$$J_{\mathbb{H}^2} = (E - JB)^{-1}J(E - JB) \ .$$

As a consequence, in a orthonormal base for I that diagonalizes B we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{trace}(bJ_{\mathbb{H}^2}) = \mathrm{trace}((E + JB)^{-1}J(E - JB)) \\
&= \mathrm{trace}\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda^2+H^2}\begin{vmatrix} 1 & H-\lambda \\ -H-\lambda & 1 \end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix} 1 & H-\lambda \\ -\lambda-H & 1 \end{vmatrix}\right) \\
&= \frac{4H}{1+\det(B)} = H(2 + \mathrm{trace}(b)) \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last passage we have used the relation

$$1 + \det(B) = \frac{4}{2 + \mathrm{trace}(b)} \ ,$$

which can be verified in local coordinates. This easily implies the claimed formula for the decomposition of b.

On the other hand, we prove that if $b : T\mathbb{H}^2 \to T\mathbb{H}^2$ is an operator satisfying the properties (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) then it is induced by an H-surface. We can uniquely decompose $b = s + a$, where s is $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$-self-adjoint and a is $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$-skew-self-adjoint. In an orthormal base, this means that s and a are represented by a symmetric and by a skew-symmetric matrix, respectively. We write

$$s = \begin{vmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ s_2 & s_3 \end{vmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad a = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -a_4 \\ a_4 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

By (iv), we can say that

$$a_4 = -\frac{H(2 + \mathrm{trace}(b))}{2}$$

for some constant $H \in \mathbb{R}$. We define the metric on the unit disc, given by

$$I = \frac{1}{4}g_{\mathbb{H}^2}((E + b)\cdot,(E + b)\cdot) \ .$$

This is non-degenerate, as $\det(E+b) = 2 + \mathrm{trace}(b) \neq 0$, by property (i). Since b is Codazzi for $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$, also $E+b$ is Codazzi for $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$, hence the Levi-Civita connection of I is

$$\nabla^I_X Y = (E+b)^{-1}\nabla_X((E+b)Y) \ .$$

In particular, the curvature K of I is equal to

$$K = \frac{-1}{\det((E+b)/2)} = -\frac{4}{2+\mathrm{trace}(b)} \ .$$

Then we define the operator

$$B = -(E+b)^{-1}J_{\mathbb{H}^2}(E-b) \ ,$$

where $J_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ is the complex structure on the unit disc induced by the hyperbolic metric. It follows from the definition that

$$\det(B) = \frac{2-\mathrm{trace}(b)}{2+\mathrm{trace}(b)} = -1-K \ .$$

Therefore, the couple (I, B) satisfies the Gauss-Codazzi equations in AdS_3 and by the Fundamental Theorem for surfaces embedded in AdS_3, there exists a surface S embedded in AdS_3 with induced metric I and second fundamental form B. By a direct computation in local coordinates, we get that the mean curvature of S is

$$\frac{\mathrm{trace}(B)}{2} = \frac{-2a_4}{2+\mathrm{trace}(b)} = H$$

hence S has constant mean curvature.

References

[AB56] L. Ahlfors, A. Beurling: *The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings*, Acta Math. 96, p. 125-142, 1956.

[BBZ07] T. Barbot, F. Béguin, A. Zeghib: *Constant mean curvature foliations of globally hyperbolic spacetimes locally modelled on AdS3*, Geom. Dedicata 126, p. 71-129, 2007.

[BBD+12] T. Barbot, F. Bonsante, J. Danciger, W. M. Goldman, F. Guéritaud, F. Kassel, K. Krasnov, J.-M. Schlenker, A. Zeghib: *Some questions on anti-de Sitter geometry*, arXiv:1205.6103.

[Bar88] R. Bartnik: *Regularity of variational maximal surfaces*, Acta Math. 161, no. 3-4, p. 145-181, 1988.

[BS10] F. Bonsante, J.-M. Schlenker: *Maximal surfaces and the universal Teichmüller space*, Inventiones Mathematicae 182, p. 279-333, 2010.

[BS16] F. Bonsante, A. Seppi: *Area-preserving diffeomorphism of the hyperbolic plane and K-surfaces in Anti-de Sitter space*, preprint.

[DE86] A. Douady, C. Earle: *Conformally natural extension of homeomorphisms of the circle*, Acta Math. 157, p. 23-48.

[KS07] K. Krasnov, J.-M. Schlenker: *Minimal surfaces and particles in* 3*-manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata 126, p. 187-254, 2007.

[Mess07] G.Mess: *Lorentz spacetimes of constant curvature*, Geom. Dedicata 126, p. 3-45, 2007.

[Sep16] A. Seppi: *Maximal surfaces in Anti-de Sitter space, width of convex hulls and quasiconformal extensions of quasisymmetric homeomoprhisms*, arXiv:1603.00628.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG
E-mail address: andrea.tamburelli@uni.lu